

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
MICHAEL HUMPHREY

Plaintiffs,

-against-

24-cv-9369 (LAK)

RICKY D.HORTON, et ano.,

Defendants
----- X

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge*.


Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The notice of removal fails adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- ☒ The citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacificCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- ☒ The exact nature and citizenship of one or more alleged limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).

Absent the filing, on or before December 17, 2024 of an notice of removal adequately alleging the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, this action will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 10, 2024



Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge